

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/667,688	09/22/2003	Javier F. Delgado	MS302387.1/6001.268US01	9384	
Homer Knearl	7590 03/18/200	EXAMINER			
Merchant & Go P.O. Box 2903	ould P.C.		KANG, INSUN		
Minneapolis, M	IN 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2193		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/18/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/667,688	DELGADO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
INSUN KANG	2193	

	INSUN KANG	2193	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 February 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperent for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavireal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth intermediate than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the hortened statutory period for reply origing the contract of the con	of the fee. The approprion of the fee. The appropriation of the final Office of the final Office of the feet appropriate the feet appropriate of the f	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially red	TE below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 	·	,	ŕ
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: 1.2.10-14.16.18-20.22-24.26-28.30-32. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	36,38-40,42 and 44-48.		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Lewis A. Bullock, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2193	/Insun Kang/ Examiner, Art Unit 2193	3	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant states that: Hargrove in view of Casement and Weisman do not disclose packaging one of the two more storage media in a distribution package...marked with an associated product key and branding information because they do not disclose different versions of the related type of software to be packaged differently in order to provide efficient distribution of related software products where the software developer need only develop one set of storage media for the software product, rather than create and inventory as a separate and distinct storage media for each version of the software product.

In response, first of all, the claims do not recite different versions of the related type of software to be packaged differently in order to proved efficient distribution of related software products where the software developer need only develop one set of storage media for the software product, rather than create and inventory as a separate and distinct storage media for each version of the software product. Furthermore, Hargrove also discloses packaging multiple installable versions of the same software product in a multi-version software distribution medium (0021). Hargrove's "various types of multi-version software distribution media and the types of installation software/data stored on one or more floppy or optical disks for installation onto computer (0025)" contain different versions of same software product. Each of the distribution media is related to only one software product. Furthermore, each different multiple versions of the software in Hargrove is packaged differently within a different subdirectory to install a correct software version by using an INF file (0032; 0035). If applicant means anything more, this has to be brought out to the claims.

2